ı	
	1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 18-CR-192 (WFK)
4	Plaintiff, : United States Courthouse
5	-against- : Brooklyn, New York
6	KASSIN RIVERS, : : Friday, July 30, 2021
7	Defendant. : 3:00 p.m.
8	,
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR A STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM F. KUNTZ, II
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	
13	APPEARANCES:
14	For the Government: JACQUELYN M. KASULIS, ESQ. Acting United States Attorney
15	Eastern District of New York 271 Cadman Plaza East
16	Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY: JONATHAN B. ALGOR, IV, ESQ.
17	Assistant United States Attorney
18	For the Defendant: FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF NY, INC. One Pierrepont Plaza
19	16th Floor Brooklyn, New York 11201
20	BY: DEIRDRE VON DORNUM, ESQ.
21	
22	Court Reporter: DAVID R. ROY, RPR 225 Cadman Plaza East
23	Brooklyn, New York 11201 drroyofcr@gmail.com
24	Proceedings recorded by Stenographic machine shorthand,
25	transcript produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription.

	Proceedings	2
1	P R O C E E D I N G S	
2		
3	00000	
4		
5	(In open court.)	
6	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.	
7	Criminal cause for a status conference,	
8	Docket Number 18-CR-00192, United States of America versus	
9	Kassin Rivers.	
10	Would each Counsel please identify themselves and	
11	state the names of their representative clients for the	
12	record, beginning with the Government.	
13	MR. ALGOR: Good afternoon, Your Honor.	
14	Jonathan Algor for the United States, J-O-N-A-T-H-A-N,	
15	A-L-G-0-R.	
16	MS. PAPAPETRU: Sophia Papapetru, for	
17	MDC, Brooklyn Bureau of Prisons. Sophia, Papapetru,	
18	P-A-P-A-P-E-T-R-U.	
19	We have our Associate Warden Melinda King.	
20	MS. KING: Melinda King, M-E-L-I-N-D-A, K-I-N-G.	
21	THE COURT: Good afternoon.	
22	Are you all vacc'd	
23	MR. ALGOR: Yes, Your Honor.	
24	THE COURT: fully vacc'd, yes or no?	
25	MR. ALGOR: Yes.	

	Proceedings 3
1	THE COURT: Yes? You may remove your masks, thank
2	you, pursuant to our Court order.
3	Please be seated.
4	MS. VON DORNUM: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
5	Deirdre von Dornum, Federal Defenders of New York, standing
6	in for Dawn Cardi, who represents Kassin Rivers.
7	It's my understanding that Mr. Rivers waived his
8	presence today, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
10	Are you fully vacc'd?
11	MS. VON DORNUM: I am, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: You may remove your mask as well,
13	Ms. von Dornum.
14	MS. VON DORNUM: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. von Dornum.
16	And, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Public, welcome,
17	as well. We have a rule in the court that for those in the
18	well who are fully vacc'd, they are allowed to remove their
19	masks, but that does not apply to those of you in the
20	public. So if you please, even if you are fully vacc'd,
21	please keep your mask in place.
22	All right. We are here on a status conference in
23	the action of the United States of America versus Rivers,
24	18-CR-192-3. The defendant, Mr. Rivers, is in custody.
25	On August 10th of 2018, the United States

of America filed a criminal complaint against the defendant charging him with bank robbery in violation of Title 18
United States Code, Section 2113(d).

On August 16th of 2018,
the Honorable Magistrate Judge Lois Bloom, arraigned the
defendant on the complaint and ordered the defendant
detained with leave to reapply and to present a bail package
in the future.

On August 30th of 2018, the United States of America filed a superseding indictment against the defendant and two Co-Defendants, James McCloud and Rodney Griffin, charging them with one count of bank robbery in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 2113(a) and 2113(d), as in "David." The superseding indictment also contains a criminal forfeiture allegation.

On September 18th of 2018, this Court held its first status conference in the case. The Government advised the Court it would turn over Rule 16 discovery pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure to Defense Counsel later that same day.

On October 23rd of 2018, the Court held it's next status conference at which time the Government turned over a plea agreement to the defense counsel. A jury was scheduled to begin trial on this action on Monday, March 4th of 2019, and after multiple adjournments, the trial in this action

Davic K. Roy, RPK, CSK, CCR Official Court Reporter

5 Proceedings was scheduled to commence on June 6th of 2021. The Court excluded time in the interest of justice to that date. On March 8th of 2019, the Court scheduled a change of plea hearing for April 1st of 2019, but on that day, the defendant chose not to go forward with the plea. At the request of the defendant, the Court appointed Mr. Joseph E. Kilada, K-I-L-A-D-A, to replace Defense Counsel. On May 6th of 2019, the Court held its next status conference in this case. The Government advised the Court that it had turned over all Rule 16 Discovery to Defense The parties informed the Court that they were engaged in continued plea negotiations.

On October 25th of 2019, the United States of America filed its first motion in limine.

On April 4th of 2020, the defendant filed a motion seeking bond for an emergency bail hearing due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

On April 6th of 2020, the Government filed a response in opposition to that motion.

On April 28th of 2020, this Court denied the motion.

On May 21st of 2020, Defense Counsel, Joseph Kilada, filed an ex-parte motion seeking leave to withdraw as counsel for the defendant.

On July 31st of 2020, the Court granted

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

Defense Counsel's motion and appointed Ms. Dawn Cardi as Counsel for the Defendant.

On August 13th of 2020, Defense Counsel Ms. Cardi, requested the Court sign a proposed order directing the Bureau of Prisons, and any other medical providers, to provide Defense Counsel with copies of the defendant's medical records.

On August 14th of 2020, the Court ordered any medical provider with medical records in its possession relating to the defendant to disclose immediately those records to Defense Counsel.

On September 14th of 2020, the defendant filed a renewed motion for bond.

On November 17th of 2020, the Court denied Defendant's renewed motion for bail hearing and order granting his release, which the Second Circuit affirmed, in its wisdom.

On July 23rd, 2021, Defense Counsel filed a motion to compel, asking this Court to, quote/unquote, "...so order a letter regarding the defendant's condition at the MDC." Defense Counsel's letter explains that the defendant was in need of hearing aids, which he had not received despite six months of unanswered requests. Also the defense counsel detailed an urgent call of nature received from the defendant advising the defense counsel that the defendant

Proceedings

has been, quote/unquote, "...without toilet facilities, that the officers had refused to either have his toilet repaired, and had refused to allow him to use other toilet facilities and to take him there when necessary." Defense Counsel noted that AUSA Jonathan Algor has also been trying to reach the BOP regarding the situation to seek a satisfactory resolution but had not at that time received a response.

On July 26th of 2021, Defense Counsel moved for a status conference before this Court. This Court scheduled the status conference on July 27th, 2021, at 2:00 p.m. At the July 27th, 2021 status conference, AUSA Mr. Jonathan Algor, who is here today, stated that the BOP representative had informed him that the defendant's toilet facility had, in fact, been fixed on Friday evening.

The Court inquired about the status of the defendant's hearing aids, to which AUSA Algor stated the defendant was now scheduled for a doctor's appointment in November of 2021. The Court then scheduled this status conference for today, Friday, July 29th, 2021, to confirm that the defendant's commode, had, in fact, been repaired and to address the status of the defendant's hearing aids.

On July 28th of 2021, the Court scheduled a change of plea hearing in the case for Friday, September 3rd of 2021, at 12:00 noon.

On July 29th of 2021, Defense Counsel, Ms. Cardi,

Davic K. Roy, RPK, CSK, CCR Officia: Court Reporter

8 Proceedings filed an additional letter with the Court regarding what 1 2 Defense Counsel described as, quote/unquote, "...continued 3 medical negligent and horrific conditions at the MDC and the 4 MCC." Defense's Counsel's letter also notes she is expected to speak with her client in advance of today's July 30th 5 conference to ask whether he is willing to waive his 6 7 appearance and to allow Distinguished Counsel 8 Deirdre von Dornum to stand in for Ms. Cardi. Ms. Cardi is 9 attending the funeral of the mother of our judicial 10 colleague, the Honorable Justice Sonia Sotomayor, who's 11 mother passed away. And we send the Justice and the family 12 our condolences. 13 Counsel, is that a fair and accurate summary of 14 the case to date? 15 Beginning with the Government? 16 MR. ALGOR: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: And Ms. von Dornum? 18 MS. VON DORNUM: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 Well, since this is an application All right. 21 filed by Ms. von Dornum and her colleague, who could not be 22 here today, Ms. Cardi, I will ask Ms. von Dornum to begin by 23 giving me a status update as to where the case stands, in 24 your point of view, and then I will hear from

25

the Government.

	Proceedings 9
1	MS. VON DORNUM: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	Yes. And so arriving at the courtroom today, I
3	had no update. Mr. Algor had received no factual update.
4	Ms. Cardi has heard nothing from the BOP.
5	As I entered the courtroom, I saw that
6	Ms. Papapetru and AW King were here. So I inquired as to
7	whether there had been any progress, and Ms. Papapetru
8	informed me that, I'm sure coincidentally, Mr. Rivers is
9	currently at the audiologist. She does not know if he
10	THE COURT: What a coincidence?
11	MS. VON DORNUM: Yes.
12	She doesn't know if he'll receive his hearing aids
13	today or not, but he is at the audiologist.
14	THE COURT: Do you mean it is not November and he
15	is there?
16	MS. VON DORNUM: And he's there, yes.
17	THE COURT: What a coincidence?
18	MS. VON DORNUM: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Go ahead.
20	MS. VON DORNUM: So I think that is progress as to
21	this very discrete point.
22	She also informed me that his commode was fixed
23	last Friday. As you've said, I haven't independently
24	confirmed that in any way, but I do understand the
25	representation from the BOP is that it is fixed.

	Proceedings 10
1	THE COURT: All right.
2	Let me hear from Mr. Algor and then the Board of
3	Prisons.
4	Mr. Algor, what is your understanding of the
5	situation with respect to Mr. Rivers?
6	MR. ALGOR: Yes, Your Honor.
7	So as I mentioned on Tuesday, I learned that that
8	day that the toilet had been fixed on Friday, and that,
9	again, a plumber had gone there on that day to confirm that.
10	I haven't heard anything regarding any representations from
11	Ms. Cardi or Ms. Ferrone, who also represents Mr. Rivers
12	regarding that confirmation, but that was passed on from
13	MDC.
14	In addition, I did learn regarding the defendant's
15	appointment, which is supposedly happening right now.
16	THE COURT: Thank you.
17	Let me hear from BOP officials.
18	And, again, would you spell your name for the
19	court reporter?
20	MS. PAPAPETRU: Sophia Papapetru, P-A-P-A
21	THE COURT: And just pull the microphone a little
22	closer to you, please.
23	MS. PAPAPETRU: P-A-P-A-P-E-T-R-U.
24	THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. Welcome.
25	MS. PAPAPETRU: Thank you.

THE COURT: And tell us what the status is with respect to Mr. Rivers.

I gather, by the way, I had received from one of your colleagues, an invitation to come to the MDC to inspect it.

Is that invitation still extend?

MS. PAPAPETRU: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you. Please continue with your report.

MS. PAPAPETRU: The data that I received the information from Ms. Cardi in regards to the toilet was after-hours, and on the following day, I followed up. And at that time, Mr. Rivers actually made the complaint to one of our executive staff members that was on his unit, and --was our captain, and he immediately notified a manager of our facilities department, and that was rectified immediately. Generally, if that is something that is brought to the attention of any staff member, it is addressed immediately. The inmate will be relocated, or it will be rectified.

In regards to the hearing aids, I do understand that he was scheduled in March, I believe it was March 9th. I cannot speak on behalf of the scheduling system. The scheduling is done through an outside vendor for the Board of Prisons, that they are contracted. And I'm not

Proceedings 12 1 sure particularly why there was such an extensive wait. 2 However, our house services administrator moved that --3 moved multiple appointments around, and I do understand that 4 they were able to be squeezed in today. And that was 5 confirmed only this morning, so... Excellent. 6 THE COURT: 7 MS. PAPAPETRU: Yes. 8 THE COURT: And does your colleague have anything 9 to say? 10 Do you have anything to say to the Court, ma'am? Yes. 11 MS. KING: Sometimes we have --12 THE COURT: Would you pull your -- well, just 13 state your name and pull the microphone close to you and 14 keep your voice up. 15 Thank you. I'm Melinda King, 16 MS. KING: Yes. 17 Associate Warden at MDC, Brooklyn. 18 Most of the time, we're not aware of these issues. And I was not aware, because I am the Associate Warden of 19 20 However, actually, what I want to say is, when it Programs. 21 was brought to my attention that, indeed, his appointment 22 was in March, and he hadn't been scheduled to go to an 23 outside facility until November, and it was actually my 24 decision to contact medical and see if they can get him in 25 as soon as possible; but that was my first time being aware

that he had been waiting that long for the hearing aids, sir.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Well, you know, I think that this just shows you the benefit of attention to these matters.

But I also want to hear from Ms. von Dornum to the extent that you have ongoing requests or interactions with the Board of Prisons from the Federal Defenders' point of view.

Could you take us back, perhaps, a year or two with respect to the, what I refer to as "the Christmas Blackout" issues and just inform the Court as to what the Federal Defenders has done on a global level? Because as an Article III Court tasked with dealing with my cases and the defendants who appear before me, that's what my focus has been, and that is what we are here to resolve today. And I am glad to hear we have made some progress.

But, Ms. von Dornum, would you just give the Court and the public a sense of where you have been in this?

MS. VON DORNUM: Yes, Your Honor.

As you allude to, in January of 2019, the end of January of 2019, beginning of February of 2019, there was a blackout at MDC, Brooklyn caused an by electrical fire, which the Inspector General has determined was caused by negligence in term of maintenance. That electrical fire led

Proceedings 14 to the shutdown of heat, light, and hot-water systems to the 1 2 The jail responded by locking each detainee into 3 their cells for approximately eight days. 4 The Court was not informed that that electrical fire had occurred, was not informed that people had been 5 locked in. And, in fact, was told by Legal Counsel, 6 7 everything is fine, the lights are on --8 THE COURT: When you say "by Legal Counsel," you 9 mean Legal Counsel --10 MS. VON DORNUM: Nicole McFarland. 11 THE COURT: -- of the --12 MS. VON DORNUM: Of the BOP. 13 THE COURT: -- BOP? 14 MS. VON DORNUM: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 15 MS. VON DORNUM: It turned out through the efforts 16 of the Court, Congress, the media, and the public that the 17 18 situation inside was quite different. I was ordered in by 19 Then Chief Judge Irizarry to tour the facility, together 20 with an investigator from the U.S. Attorney's Office while 21 the blackout was still ongoing. 22 We saw that the lights and heat were out, but we 23 also spoke to numerous people who had received no medical 24 care, even in very extreme distress --25 THE COURT: And just to be clear, when you are

15 Proceedings saying "numerous people," you are talking about --1 2 MS. VON DORNUM: The detainees. 3 THE COURT: -- the detainees? 4 MS. VON DORNUM: Right. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 5 MS. VON DORNUM: Although, I think it's also quite 6 7 fair to say that officers were suffering in this 8 circumstance, as well. They were required to work in the 9 dark and cold and not given sufficient backup in terms of 10 security measures given the situation. So, you know, with the efforts of the Court and 11 12 the Inspector General of the United States, that situation 13 was investigated. There were lawsuits filed. There was a 14 series of findings made, both in court and by the 15 Inspector General, as to what had led to that and the 16 problems with the handling of it. One thing that was of 17 particular concern was the lack of medical care during that 18 time and the lack of accurate medical records. So since 19 that time, my colleagues and I, together with members of the 20 court and the U.S. Attorney's Office have tried to work to 21 improve the responsiveness and accuracy of the medical 22 system at the MDC. 23 I would say that those efforts have been an abject 24 failure, that we continue, as evidenced today, to have to

a man who is completely deaf, is obviously, it's a security problem if he can't hear people around him. No one disagrees that he is deaf. It's not a specialized problem, it's not a contested problem; but yet, it requires the Court's intervention and the Government's intervention to get him back to an audiologist where we're not certain that he will get his hearing aids, even in this now, five-month period. And that happens only with two conferences, the presence of an associate warden, the presence of counsel, and obviously, there's 1200 other people there.

I heard Counsel for the BOP say that appointments had been re-arranged to accommodate Mr. Rivers. And while I certainly appreciate that, I'm certain that there are other defendants who are under this Court's jurisdiction whose medical appointments were, no doubt, moved back so that he could get these routine hearing aids.

I also note that the BOP Legal Representative said that she couldn't address the problems with scheduling, and that the Associate Warden said she had no idea that these problems existed. So it seems to me that through the Court's efforts, we have identified each of the problems. First, that the scheduling system is not transparent. And even the legal department does not know why people have to wait nine months to get hearing aids, if

Davic K. Roy, RPK, CSK, CCR Official Court Reporter

they get them at all. The person who is in charge of health services is not being told, even when the defendant has asked for hearing aids. The lawyer has written over and over, and the prosecutor has contacted the jail. It's only when it escalates to Your Honor that there is attention, and then the person is able to go to the doctor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So it would seem to me that we have an opportunity here to together work to have a different system in place where when a defendant or Defense Counsel requests medical aid and there is no, you know, controversy that that is needed, that the legal department specifically finds out when it will be scheduled, communicates that to the prosecutor, who is part of the Department of Justice, and communicates the need to the Associate Warden in charge of health services so that it can be addressed. The prosecutor can then communicate it to Defense Counsel if steps need to But I think this ongoing black hole of we send 30 emails, we write to the Court, we call the prosecutor, the prosecutor calls, and then no one goes to the doctor until you schedule two conferences, that can't be a way to handle 1200 people that are under the Court's custody and care.

So I would ask the Court to order that going forward when either Defense Counsel or Defendant makes a medical request and that medical request is not controversial, such as this one, that Legal within seven

Davic K. Roy, RPK, CSK, CCR Official Court Reporter

days inform the Criminal AUSA, who has sought that person's detention at the MDC, as to what steps have been taken provide medical records and an update; also inform the Associate Warden if that person cannot be seen within that period.

THE COURT: Well, that is a very interesting application, Ms. von Dornum. But I learned a long time ago as an Article III Judge that despite my enormous Article III powers, a judge's reach has to bear some relationship to his or her power.

So here is what I am going to order the parties to do: Within 30 days of today, the Federal Defenders will accept the invitation of the BOP that was extended to this Court, but will now be extended to you to visit, or to continue the visits to the MDC at the invitation and working with the Board of Prisons as you have indicated has gone on over the last two years. Together you will do that with the United States Attorneys for the Eastern District of New York and their investigators as you have been doing for the last two years. And most importantly, from my point of view, if they elect to join in on one or more of those visits, you will invite -- because I am sure the BOP will do this -- invite the Chief Judge of the Eastern District of New York, Chief Judge Brodie; and the Chief Magistrate Judge of the Eastern District of New York, with whom

Davic K. Roy, RPK, CSK, CCR Officia: Court Reporter

I know the Federal Defenders have been working on these issues for many years and the U.S. Attorney has as well. So you will extend that invitation to my Chief Judge, and you extend that invitation to Magistrate Judge Pollack, as the Chief Judge. And they will observe the conditions, if they elect to go. I am not telling them they have to go, but I suspect that they will be gracious enough to accept your invitation, they will observe the conditions, along with Federal Defenders, that gave rise to this Court's concerns about the treatment of Mr. Rivers about the conditions that have been successfully resolved in his particular case. But as Ms. von Dornum has pointed out, one down, 1200 to go is not really a very good way to proceed. Whack-a-mole has never been my strength.

Within 30 days of completion of your visit, or visits, the Federal Defenders, and I can direct the Federal Defenders, they are directed to file a written report with my chief judge, Chief Judge Brodie, and with the Chief Judge Magistrate for the Eastern District of New York, Judge Pollack, under whenever terms and conditions the Federal Defenders, two chief judges deem appropriate together sharing both contents with the U.S. Attorney's Office. We are not here to sandbag anybody. They are going to be involved in the investigation. You are going to write a report for the chief judge. It is going to

be on notice with the U.S. Attorneys, and I think the U.S. Attorney's Office, I think that is the way to proceed.

Now, if the Federal Defenders and the chief judges, Chief Magistrate Judge Pollack and Chief Judge Brodie and the U.S. Attorney find that appropriate at the end of that process, whenever that is, to file a report with the Board of Judges, with the public, or not, that will be up to you folks who have been doing it. The last thing in the world you want is for this Court to parachute in and be buttinsky when you have been working on these issues. All right?

So I am going to put this in the form of an order so everybody can see what I have ordered and what I have not ordered.

MS. VON DORNUM: (Indicating.)

THE COURT: You can be seated.

As I once heard of the Late Judge Barbara Frankel say to a very distinguished counsel, such as yourself, You don't have to stand to listen. It is okay.

But here is the point: When I was about 14 years old -- I was born in Bed-Stuy, raised in Harlem. I have one brother two years younger who became a pediatric psychiatrist. I once asked him if that was because I was his big brother, and he said, Well, what do you think? I said, Never mind. I am not going to play the

Davic K. Roy, RPK, CSK, CCR Official Court Reporter

what-do-you-think game with a shrink.

When we got our first car, our parents' first car, it was fairly small, and my brother, Eric, and I were in the backseat, and like teenaged boys, young teenaged boys, 14, 12, not always the best behaved, I guess. Now that I am a parent, I can understand that. And every now and then, either my father or my mother would say in certain tone of voice, Don't, make, me, come, back, there.

And I am saying to the Federal Defenders, I am saying to U.S. Attorney, I am saying to the BOP, and I am saying discreetly to my judicial colleagues who have worked on this, Don't make me come back there, because none of you will be happy if I have to revisit commodes and hearing aids time after time. This is not about Judge Kuntz. This is about Mr. Rivers and every Mr. Rivers in the BOP.

You guys, the Federal Defenders, U.S. Attorneys, BOP, Social Workers, Chief Judges, and Chief Magistrate Judges have been working on this problem for years. And when I am told that a distinguished lawyer, like Ms. Dawn Cardi, who has been working on this for years and years and years, and Distinguished Counsel, like Deirdre von Dornum, and the U.S. Attorneys who have been working on this for years, and the social workers and the BOP, and we are talking about six months to get hearing aids when you can gone on Amazon and get generic hearing aids in

Davic K. Roy, RPK, CSK, CCR Official Court Reporter

24 hours and getting a plumber in? Really? I am not having it. Okay?

I had one case, if everything I have been told and I believe it is true, that has been muted out. Good for Mr. Rivers. Good for Judge Kuntz. I have done my job as an Article III Judge with a case.

But you folks, you Federal Defenders with all the money the taxpayers pay -- and I am an old Wall Street guy, I want what I pay for -- and the U.S. Attorneys and the BOP and the Social Workers and the Chief Judges who do the administration, okay, don't make me come back there. Don't make me be the point person.

We have an elevator through that door (indicating) that is supposed to bring detained individuals up from the holding area downstairs. It has been broken for six months. When I have criminal defendants in this courtroom now, they have to be walked through the public arena because, for whatever reason, whoever is supposed to work that elevator and fix it, it has not worked for six months.

So trust me, I am not the guy you want running the BOP. I do not want to do it. It is not my skill set. I cannot even get the elevator to work in my little part of the forest. I am not a good superintendent. You do not want me to be superintendent. You don't want me to be superintendent. You guys and gals fix this, because if I

Davic K. Roy, RPK, CSK, CCR Official Court Reporter

23 Proceedings 1 have to fix it, you will not be happy. You will not be 2 happy. 3 I am not going to send criminal defendants to be 4 treated in this fashion, and I am not going to let them out 5 to commit crimes against the people of the Eastern District of New York. I am not coddling anyone when I say fix the 6 7 toilets and let them hear what they need to hear. Okay? 8 This is not about coddling anyone. This is about a decent 9 reflection of what it manes to be a civilized society. 10 Everyone in this room has proceeded in good faith. 11 But you got to do better, because I am telling you now, if I 12 have to stop the car and get out and go to the back of the 13 car, no one is going to be happy. 14 Tell that to your colleagues. You tell that to your colleagues. 15 Okay. Is there anything else I can help anyone 16 17 with today? 18 MR. ALGOR: Not from the Government, Your Honor. 19 No, Your Honor. MS. VON DORNUM: Thank you. Thank you. 20 THE COURT: 21 We will extend time. To the extent we need to do 22 that, I will put that in the order, but I believe we are 23 good to go. 24 Have a nice afternoon, everyone. Get your shots, 25 if you have not, and have a nice day.

```
Proceedings
                                                                   24
               I gather some of you are staying for the second
1
2
     round of the Judge Kuntz's Friday afternoon performance?
3
               MS. VON DORNUM: Yes, Your Honor.
               MR. ALGOR: Yes, Your Honor.
4
               THE COURT:
5
                           Thank you.
               Have a good afternoon.
6
                           Thank you, Judge.
7
               MR. ALGOR:
8
               MS. VON DORNUM: Thank you, Your Honor.
9
               (Matter concluded.)
10
11
                               --00000--
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
     I (we) certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
    from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
24
                                          3rd Day of August, 2021
               <u>/s/ David R. Roy</u>
25
                 DAVID R. ROY
                                                     Date
```

